Open standards, economics and innovation

Open Standards International Symposium Yale Law School, New Haven, CT February 3, 2007

Rishab Aiyer Ghosh (rishab@dxm.org)
United Nations University - MERIT

- Network effect: benefits to single user proportional to number of users
- Network externality: added value of network effect

- Network effects can form entry barriers for new technologies
- Path dependence, QWERTY, intel 8086, linux/unix...

- Network effects can form entry barriers for new technologies
- Path dependence, QWERTY, intel 8086, linux/unix...
- Natural monopolies to maximise welfare from network effects
- Monopolies can lead to rent-seeking and capture of network externalities

- Alternative approach: separate technology from producer
- Interoperable standards allow natural monopolies of technologies (standards) while providing for competition among vendors

- Standards and IPR: rights over a standard (de jure or de facto) allow control or rentseeking over the standard, thus reducing the competitive effect
- Standards bodies try to limit this controlling behaviour by rights-holders, e.g. by requiring RAND or royalty-free terms

- If no competitive advantage is held by some players solely by virtue of owning rights over a standard, then a natural monopoly of technology can coexist with full competition in the supply for the technology
- (Only) such a different economic effect deserves a different term: open standard

Types of standards

- Proprietary ("standard"?) technologies
 - Natural monopoly in technology leads to natural monopoly in market for products and services based on that technology
 - Results when access to the technology is available only to the rights holders

Types of standards

- ("Semi-open"?) Standard technologies
 - Natural monopoly in technology arises (de facto) or is defined (de jure) but some competition provided for in market for products and services
 - Results when access to the technology is available to players other than the rights holders/originators, perhaps retaining advantages for the rights holders

Types of standards

- Open standard technologies
 - Natural monopoly in technology arises (de facto) or is defined (de jure) but full competition ensured in market for products and services
 - Results when access to the technology is available to all (potential) players on equal terms providing no a priori advantages based on ownership of rights, or definition of the technology

Economic effect of policy

- Different technologies have different economic effects
- Relationship between the natural monopoly of the technology and the extent of competition possible among suppliers of the technology
- Policies towards technologies and standards can achieve different economic effects
- For policy makers it is useful to distinguish between types of standards and the economic effects they can achieve

Different markets, different terms

- Total accounted cost of product development for Internet or office productivity applications can be zero for some suppliers (open source developers)
- Royalty or other (e.g. audit) requirements can prevent such suppliers from operating ...
- ... (and are thus not truly R or ND)

Different markets, different terms

- In markets where huge investments are required for product development, royalties may not pose additional entry barriers
- In markets based on unit sales audit or "nosublicensing" requirements may not pose additional entry barriers
- In markets where open source developers provide the main existing or potential competition, such conditions do pose barriers, and reduce competition greatly, preventing the "open standard" effect

Standards inherently limit innovation!

- Standards inherently limit innovation!
- This is in the nature of standards:
 - Path dependence (qwerty; intel 8086; linux/unix; tcp/ip)
 - Natural monopolies and inertia (technology used by everyone)

- Standards inherently limit innovation!
- This is also the value of standards:
 - Network externality accrues to a fixed technology
 - Value to customers (network)
 - Value to producers: (large market)

- Standards inherently limit innovation!
- This is also the value of standards:
 - Standards provide a platform that can be assumed
- A standard provides a platform above which innovation can take place freely

- A standard provides a platform above which innovation can take place freely
- Innovation in the standard itself (across the network) is successfully achieved only by controllers of the standard
- This is rent-seeking, and prevents others from innovating above the standard
- (pulls the rug out from under their feet)

Policy strategies

- Interoperability is on its own not effectual – many PAs prefer "compatibility" in practice, which is anticompetitive and costly in the long term
- Interoperability with software from multiple vendors should be the sole "compatibility" criterion for all new software procurement

More information

Full FLOSSPOLS report (including "An economic basis for Open Standards"): http://flosspols.org/deliverables.php

Economic impact of open source: www.flossimpact.eu

Rishab Aiyer Ghosh: ghosh@merit.unu.edu